Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/016,880	CHAPMAN, CARL R.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Barbara J. Musser	1733
All Participants:	Status of Application:	Allowed
(1) <u>Barbara J. Musser</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Chris Capelli</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>5 November 2004</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: none		
Claims discussed: 9 and 11		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT W	/AS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary</li> </ul>	ecord of the substance of the	itten summary of the substance
Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative S	Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Since the amendment dated 10/22/04 is unclear as to the status of claims 9 and 11, examiner suggested claim 9 be clearly cancelled and replaced by claim 12, which is directed to the same material as claim 9, and that claim 11 clearly be cancelled. Applicant faxed in changes to that effect which were attached to the notice of allowance as an examiner's amendment..